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## Motivation

We are curious about the effect of retaining wall on mudslide.


| Material | Representation |
| :--- | :---: |
| EVA balls | Collapse |
| BB shots | Mudslide |

## Theory (Vertical motion)

The sediment collapses under the effect of gravity, and the motion is equivalent to uniform acceleration.


$$
H(x, t)=H_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \mathrm{~g}\left(t-t_{0 z}\right)^{2}
$$

$\alpha$ : vertical effective gravity constant
$t_{0 z}$ : the time when granular flow starts

## Theory (Horizontal motion)

Since particles are affected by gravity and normal force, their motion should be proportional to uniform acceleration.

$$
L(z, t)=L_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \beta \mathrm{~g}\left(t-t_{0 x}\right)^{2}
$$

$\beta$ : horizontal effective gravity constant
$t_{0 x}$ : when the time the sliding gate starts to move.


## Purpose

- Observe the phenomenon of the granular flow

1. A uniform accelerated motion phenomenon.
2. Final height and overflow distance. ( $H_{f}$ and $\Delta L_{f}=L_{f}-L_{0}$ )

- Observe the effect of the retaining wall

1. Lost percentage of granular flow.
2. Overflow distance . $\left(\Delta L_{f}=L_{f}-L_{0}\right)$
3. EVA balls vs BB shots. (collapse vs mudslide)



# Experimental setup 

Angle steel
DC motor


Materials:

BB shots
EVA balls


## |Experimental steps

Put the EVA balls or BB shots into the chamber.


Analyze the video without retaining wall.


Analyze the video with retaining wall.

|Experimental analysis
-Height and overflow distance


- Final height and overflow distance: $H_{f}$ and $\Delta L_{f}=L_{f}-L_{0}$
- Time evolution of height and length: $H_{1} \ldots \ldots H_{5}, L_{1} \ldots \ldots L_{5}$
|Experimental analysis
- With retaining wall


Calculate the loss ratio under different aspect ratios.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { aspect ratio }=\frac{H_{0}}{L_{0}} \\
\text { loss ratio }=\frac{\text { Green Area }}{\text { Red Area }} \\
H_{r w}=\text { the height of retaining wall }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Result: Horizontal analysis




The data conforms to the uniformly accelerated motion curve during the acceleration phase.

## Result: Vertical analysis




All data during the acceleration phase seem to collapse on the uniformly accelerated motion fit curve.

## Result: $\alpha \& \beta$




## Result: Final height and Overflow length



$$
\frac{\Delta L_{f}}{L_{0}} \cong \begin{cases}0.26 a^{2.86} & \text { for } a \leq 2.01 \\ 0.99 a^{0.93} & \text { for } a \geq 2.01\end{cases}
$$


$\frac{H_{f}}{L_{0}} \cong \begin{cases}0.91 a^{0.59} & \text { for } a \leq 3 \\ 1.1 a^{0.45} & \text { for } a \geq 3\end{cases}$

## Result

The turning point:


aspect ratio $=1.5$

aspect ratio $=4.5$

The slope changes from a straight line to a curve line as the aspect ratio increases.

# Result: w/o retaining wall - Area 



Retaining wall's height $\left(H_{r w}\right)=10 \mathrm{~cm}$

$$
L_{0}=8.5 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

$$
\text { loss ratio }=\frac{\text { Green Area }}{\text { Red Area }}
$$

When aspect ratio is larger than 2.63, the use of retaining wall becomes invalid

## Result: w/o retaining wall

- Overflow distance


As the result, we can see that the retaining wall doesn't have an obvious effect on the length that the EVA balls can run over.

## Result: with retaining wall

- Different particles


|  | $H_{0} / H_{r w}$ <turning point | $H_{0} / H_{r w}>$ turning point |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BB shots | 0.37 | 0.11 |
| EVA balls | 0.37 | 0.08 |

## Conclusion

1. Uniformly accelerated motion: We confirm that the granular flow is uniformly accelerated motion, and the vertical and horizontal acceleration $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are equal to 0.11 and 0.39 , respectively.
2. Final height and overflow distance: $\frac{H_{f}}{L_{0}} \cong\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0.91 a^{0.59} & \text { for } a \leq 3 \\ 1.1 a^{0.45} & \text { for } a \geq 3\end{array}, \frac{\Delta L_{f}}{L_{0}} \cong \begin{cases}0.26 a^{2.86} & \text { for } a \leq 2.01 \\ 0.99 a^{0.93} & \text { for } a \geq 2.01\end{cases}\right.$
3. Retaining wall:

- Retaining wall is useless when aspect ratio exceeds 2.6.
- It can reduce the loss of granular flow, but can't influence the overflow distance.
- Due to different fluidity, the loss ratio of BB shots is higher than that of EVA balls.

